

Government Procurement in Brazil: an international view

The Internationalization of Government Procurement Regulation

European University Institute – EUI

December 16, 2014

Cesar A. Guimarães Pereira
cesar@justen.com.br

Rafael Wallbach Schwind
rafael@justen.com.br



■ Brazilian Government Procurement: an outline

- Constitution, articles 22 (powers) and 37 (basic principles)
- Domestic regulation: federal, uniform for sub-federal
 - Federal GP Act (1993): sealed competitive tender as general method; no competitive dialogue: expressions of interest PMI-PPP
 - Reverse Auction Act (2001): reverse auction or electronic reverse auction, with post qualification: in practice, 67% of federal purchases (2013/2014 tendered: 16% in number; 71% in value)
 - Framework agreements/IDIQ (“price registration”) in purchases
 - RDC Act (2011): simplified and flexible; post qualification; possible absence of basic design; preferably electronic; sealed estimate
 - SME (2006), Buy National (2010), Specific Sectors (Defense, 2011)
 - Coverage: special regulations for companies (e.g. Petrobras, 1998)
- **Key problem: contract administration (post-award)**

■ Road toward international models: positive aspects

- Compatibility of the current legislation
 - Minor necessary changes in procedural aspects. Formal equal treatment of domestic and foreign bidders since the 1990's
- Concentration of legislation at the federal level
 - Relative irrelevance of local and state legislation
- Growing internationalization of Brazilian companies: access to foreign government marketplaces
- International attention to Brazilian PP market (estimated USD 250-300 billion, based on GDP; USD 30 billion federal purchases)
- Effective domestic review, protest and challenge system
 - Protests and appeals within the procuring agencies
 - Challenges before TCU/TCE (eq. GAO) or courts

■ Road toward international models: difficulties

- Brazil's focus on Mercosur
 - Mercosur Government Purchases Protocol pending ratification. Reference to Mercosur in domestic legislation (Buy National Act)
 - [Note: Argentina is already a GPA observer]
- Excessively intense agenda at the WTO: focus on private trade
- Government procurement as a public policy tool (2006)
 - SME preferences
 - Domestic production/technology transfer preferences
 - Protected sectors (defense, IT) and sustainability (green procurement: no restriction based on scope or certainty)
- Insecurity about actual access to foreign marketplaces: lack of pressure from the private sector

SME Preferences

- Practical relevance of SME Act, of 2006
 - Federal Purchases (2008-2014): 21% to 30% for domestic SME

MINISTÉRIO DO PLANEJAMENTO

Secretaria de Logística e Tecnologia da Informação – SLTI

Valor das compras por porte – Órgãos SISG

Ano	Micro Empresa	Pequena Empresa	Outros	Total ¹
2008	8.091.226.108,90	10.002.053.452,07	59.279.329.105,74	77.372.608.666,71
2009	10.500.048.038,93	9.466.313.411,65	57.785.376.671,85	77.751.738.122,43
2010	14.774.913.003,12	6.423.251.444,84	63.143.100.945,33	84.341.265.393,30
2011	12.178.566.388,62	7.049.034.578,06	45.883.817.070,62	65.111.418.037,30
2012	11.036.209.275,97	7.286.522.542,50	68.028.064.748,57	86.350.796.567,04
2013	12.847.975.542,14	10.173.726.780,03	53.967.340.300,87	76.989.042.623,05
2014 ²	4.993.021.359,87	3.807.375.622,99	21.624.714.158,03	30.425.111.140,89

¹ Valores corrigidos pelo IPCA Dessazonalizado.

² Janeiro a julho.

Fonte: Comprasnet .

Elaboração: SLTI/MP.

■ SME Preferences

- Brazil-based only, by statute
- SME: micro (annual gross income up to USD 150,000) and small companies (up to USD 1,500,000)
- Procedural preferences: facilitated requirements
- “Fictitious tie”: right of first refusal; opportunity for final bid if up to 5% or 10% above best non-SME offer
- Small value contracts (up to USD 30,000) in SME-only tenders (71% of total in 2013)
- Preference in single source awards in small value contracts
- Mandatory subcontracting of SME (optional tool for the procuring agency)
- 25% quota in contracts for separable goods

■ "Buy National" Preferences (2010)

- Price margins for local production and compliance with Brazilian technical standards (services and goods)
- Additional price margins for local development and technological innovation (up to 25% total)
- Margins set for 5 years by Presidential Executive Orders (19 Executive Orders up to August 2014)
- Rules of origin based on PPB ("basic production process")
- Requirements of "local (domestic) content" (recent WTO challenge)
 - oil and gas equipment; access to funding by BNDES
- Exceptions. Extension to Mercosur by Buy National Act
- Local-only, restricted competitive biddings (strategic defense goods and systems, strategic IT services)

■ Protests, Challenges and Bid-Review

- Equally available to domestic and international bidders; even non-bidders within a certain framework
- Three-level system
 - Pre-bid protest against the solicitation (5 to 2 days in advance, depending on method; no automatic stay)
 - Appeal within the bidding proceeding: sealed bidding (step by step) v. reverse auction and RDC (one final appeal)
 - Challenge at TCU/TCE (eq. GAO): variety of remedies, including power to stay, recommend termination, sanctions, debarment
- Entitlement to transparency (sealed price estimate: RDC)
- Immediate access to courts: independent Judiciary
- Cost-free, easy litigation: highly contentious proceedings
- Arbitration: contract phase; PPPs and concessions

■ Conclusions: prospects for internationalization

- **Relatively easy harmonization. Well-established and mature system. Transparency (www.comprasnet.gov.br)**
- **Centralization of decision-making, regardless of the federal structure of Brazil. Reform bill in Congress (2013)**
- **Possibly favorable environment for negotiation of exceptions under the GPA (“the BRICS race”)**
- Widespread use of protectionist mechanisms to favor local technology and production
- Increased awareness by public officials of the instrumental use of the government purchasing power to advance public policies
- Lack of awareness by Brazilian companies of the potential gains from internationalization, resulting in lack of political and economic pressure on the government for GPA/PTA or internationalization in general